



2004

## Environmental Scorecard

ELECTING PRO-ENVIRONMENT LAWMAKERS
HOLDING LEGISLATORS ACCOUNTABLE
ENGAGING THE PUBLIC IN STATE POLICY

## Session in Review: *A Banner Year!*

#### LEGISLATURE PASSES RECORD NUMBER OF PRO-ENVIRONMENT BILLS



Congratulations to legislators and the many environmental groups for making this year so successful for the environment. The voters of Connecticut have reason to be pleased.

At several points during the short 2004 legislative session, we presented legislators with a list of important environmental bills. To support the work of our colleague environmental groups, CTLCV also sent targeted alerts to legislative committees that were about to hold an important vote. Specific notices were sent urging support for aquifer regulations, inland wetlands protections, global warming, Connecticut Environmental Protection Act revisions, and water management legislation.

The year started on a bright note with the passage of aquifer protection regulations by the state legislature's Regulation Review committee in

January. These long overdue regulations were mandated by law more than 15 years ago, but because of vocal opposition by a handful of towns, were not brought to a vote until this year.

As the session wound down to the last days and hours, it looked as though time would run out for many of these bills. But with numerous environmental organizations actively working their issues, negotiating amendments, engaging legislators, and staying visible at the Capitol—fourteen important environmental bills made it past both chambers before the official close at midnight on May 5. Of course, the work does not stop here. A number of significant initiatives did not pass, and will likely return next session. Please see bill descriptions on pages 2-4 for details.

#### **Victories**

- Clean Cars
- Energy Efficiency Standards
- Clean/Alternative Fuel Vehicles
- Water Company Lands
- Water Supply Abandonment
- Inland Wetlands Protections
- Conservation Easements
- Invasive Plants Ban
- Farmland/Farm Viability
- Climate Change
- Harbor Management Plans
- Dept. of Agriculture (repeal of merger)
- Sustainable Forestry
- Water Diversion



#### **Unfinished Business**

- Plans of Conservation and Development
- Pesticides
- Diesel Pollution
- Environmental Protection Act
- Green Building Standards

#### The Process

We have heard from numerous legislators on both sides of the aisle-concerns about their scores, about the inclusion of committee votes, scoring of absences, or about particular bills. CTLCV is constantly re-evaluating and trying to improve the method by which we produce the scorecard, and legislators are helping us to do this. But by all accounts, this report continues to elevate the importance of environmental issues in the minds and agendas of our elected state leaders.

The scores do not include committee votes on a bill if there was a final vote in the House or Senate. This year we are identifying absences, but not calculating them in the final score.

To those legislators with less than perfect scores, we look forward to working with you to one day achieve a 100%. And to those lawmakers who consistently earn high marks from the environmental community, THANK YOU! Your constituents will reward you on Election Day.

## **Bill Descriptions**

We are grateful to the large number of legislators who helped make the following bills a reality. We list here, where appropriate, the names of the leaders of the initiatives, but we are keenly aware of the many other people who helped make these successes. The list is very long.







#### **Victories**

#### **Aguifer Protection** Regulations

Stalled for 15 years after the legislature mandated the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to develop these regulations, the provisions were modified to address opponents' concerns, and the DEP finally prevailed in establishing the new protections with a unanimous vote by the Regulation Review Committee.

#### SB 119 Clean Cars

To require the DEP to implement California's low Emission Vehicle II program. Senators Williams, McKinney and Aniskovich were instrumental in passage of this landmark legislation. - Passed

#### SB 145 Energy **Efficiency Standards**

To require the Office of Policy and Management to establish, by regulation, minimum energy efficiency standards for certain heating, cooling, lighting and other types of products. — Passed

#### SB 371 Water **Company Lands**

To create a land conservation incentive for the sale of Class III water company lands by directing the Department of Public Utility Control to allocate greater benefits in favor of the company's shareholders as

more land is permanently preserved as open space. Many legislators worked on this legislation, most notably Representatives Bob Duff, Ken Bernhard, Terry Backer, Melodie Peters and Livvy Floren. - *Passed* 

#### SB 445 Jurisdiction of Municipal Inland Wetland Commissions

To allow inland wetlandscommissions to regulate impacts to aquatic, plant and animal life and their habitats within wetlands and watercourses. Representative Widlitz worked hard to ensure that this legislation passed the House.

- Passed

### Bill Descriptions (Continued)

#### SB 547 The Ban of Invasive Plants

Invasive species of plants are destroying habitat and threatening wildlife. An invasive plants working group formed last year generated legislation to add certain plants to the current statutory list of banned invasive plant species in Connecticut. Senator Andrew Roraback and Representatives Roberta Willis and Pat Widlitz were strong proponents of this effort. - Passed

#### SB 595 Climate Change

Greenhouse gas pollution that causes global warming has been recognized as a growing worldwide problem. As part of a regional effort initiated by the New England Governors and Eastern Canadian Premiers, this legislation establishes state goals to reduce greenhouse gas pollution by 2010, 2020 and on a long-term basis. It also establishes annual reporting requirements on these reductions and sets up a Governor's steering committee on Climate Change. Senator Williams championed this legislation. - Passed

#### HB 5526 Harbor **Management Plans**

This bill creates a procedure for recommending standards and criteria for (1) constructing and locating private residential docks and piers and (2) managing scenic resources and visual impacts along the Lower Connecticut River Conservation Zone. Representative James Spallone led this effort.

#### - Passed

#### HB 5588 Forestry Management

To encourage sustainable forestry management of state woodlands while generating funds for the protection of such lands. Representative William Dyson was especially helpful in moving this through the Appropriations Committee.

- Passed

#### HB 5608 Clean Water **Projects and Water Diversion Registrations**

This bill included a requirement for the ongoing reporting of registered water diversions for drinking water, irrigation and other uses. These registered diversions were "grandfathered" and have not previously been subject to the same sort of annual reporting requirements. The data collected will make prudent water-basin planning much easier. Representatives Pat Widlitz and Mary Mushinsky deserve credit for their efforts on water diversion legislation this year. - **Passed** 

#### **Significant Wins Not Scored**

#### 218 Clean and Alternative **Fuel Vehicles**

To promote the purchase of cleaner vehicles by extending the tax credit to consumers that was scheduled to sunset this year. This legislation goes hand in hand with the clean cars legislation mandating the state to adopt stricter emission standards for cars sold in Connecticut. — Passed

#### SB 589 Preservation of the Family Farm

Despite their tremendous open space, economic and cultural importance to Connecticut, farmland is being lost to development at an accelerated rate. This bill promotes "Connecticut Grown" agriculture and helps to preserve family farms in Connecticut. Senator Don Williams championed this effort. - Passed

#### HB 5585 Continuing **Departments of Agriculture** and Consumer protection as Separate Agencies This legislation reverses the

Department of Agriculture and Consumer Protection. Such a merger would have undermined efforts to protect farmland. - *Passed* 

ill-advised merger of the

#### 465 Water Supply **Abandonment**

To grant the Commissioner of Public Health the ability to deny a drinking water reservoir abandonment permit if he/she determines that the water source is needed for present or future water needs of the state. While this bill did not come to a final vote, its key provisions were included in the budget implementer bill that passed in a Special Session held on May 11. Senator Chris Murphy and Representative Vicki Nardello were true leaders in promoting this legislation. - *Passed* 

#### Unfinished **Business**

#### SB 219 Reducing Sulfur Content of Home Heating Oil and Off Road Diesel Fuels

This DEP initiative would have greatly reduced sulfur dioxide pollution in the state by reducing the sulfur content of home heating oil and off-road diesel fuel. This was a missed opportunity to protect the public health by improving the air we breathe. - Failed

#### SB 220 Pesticides at **Schools and Daycares**

This would have protected the health of our youngest citizens by banning the use of cosmetic lawn care pesticides in the buildings and on the grounds of schools and child day care centers or group day care homes. - Failed

#### SB 365 Concerning the **Water Planning Council** and Connecticut Water **Diversion Act** This bill would have

improved the collection of state water resources data and coordinate and fund water resource planning through an annual fee on registered water diversions. It called for DEP to adopt new minimum stream flow regulations taking into consideration the needs of public health, wildlife, public recreation and water supply. Sen. Andrew Roraback was the chief proponent of this effort. It was killed with a "No Action" decision by Rep. Chris Murphy, co-chair of the Public Health Committee. — Failed

SB 462 Environmental Regulation and a **Presumption Against Unreasonable Pollution** 

The Connecticut Environmental Protection Act was severely weakened after a Supreme Court ruling in 2002 relating to adequate levels of water in the Shepaug River. This legislation would have clarified provisions of the Act, but was met with strong resistance from the town of Waterbury and was killed in a close vote in the Judiciary Committee. Co-chair Senator Andrew McDonald should be commended for his willingness to bring this important issue to a vote. - *Failed* 

#### **HB 5024 Reducing Outdoor Light Pollution**

This was one of two light pollution bills passed by the legislature in 2003, but this one was ultimately vetoed. Back for consideration this session, the bill would have reduced light pollution and glare (a major threat to migrating birds and human health), reduced state spending and energy consumption, and helped to restore the night sky. — Failed

#### HB 5044 Plans of Conservation and Development

This important land use planning bill would have provided for priority funding areas as well as promote consistency in state and regional plans of conservation and development. It was defeated in a roll call vote in the House, but then reconsidered in the last hours of session, where there was not enough time remaining to debate the merits of the bill. Representative Lew Wallace did a yeoman's job of moving this bill forward. — Failed

#### HB 5115 Green Building **Standards**

This would have required the state to adopt the energy efficiency standards for state-owned and state-funded buildings as established by the US Green Building Council. - Failed

#### HB 5375 The Recycling of Electronic Devices

Defeated early in the session, this bill would have established a desperately needed recycling program for certain electronic devices. Presently, common household items such as computer monitors that contain dangerous toxics such as mercury and lead, are routinely disposed of in the regular waste stream, endangering public health. — Failed

#### HB 5535 Overburdened **Communities**

This bill was originally entitled An Act Concerning Environmental Justice, but was significantly altered as it moved through three committees. It would have ensured the consideration of environmental justice in the conduct of business by the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Public Utility Control and the Connecticut Siting Council. Representative Art Feltman worked closely with advocates to make progress on this initiative. - *Failed* 



Back in 2000,

out of all the bills important to the environmental community, only one actually passed both chambers. By Comparison, the 2004 legislative session produced more than fifteen successful initiatives.

#### Legislation **Opposed by CTLCV**

551 Recreational Fishing This legislation would have tied the hands of resource managers and planners to protect ecosystems and critical habitats for conservation purposes. It would have hindered the DEP's ability to set up effective marine reserves. - **Defeated** 

#### 5610 Municipal **Exemptions** from **Inland Wetland Permits**

This would have provided blanket exemptions for any municipality from the requirement to apply for a permit for any project that would impact an inland wetland. Ranking member on the Environment Committee, Clark Chapin, was responsive to environmental concerns and withdrew this legislation. — Defeated

#### **HOW TO USE THE SCORECARD**

These vote charts detail how legislators voted on 14 separate bills and how they scored on their overall votes for the session. We have recorded absences, but have not included them in the score. Descriptions of these bills are on page 2-4.

0 - anti-environment vote

1 - pro-environment

A - absent/abstain

2004 Scores - percentage of pro-environment votes cast by legislator

**S** = Senate Vote **H** = House Vote

\* = Last Committee Vote

### **Senate Votes**

		/		145 d	220 Post	371 W.	445 bu	462 p.	547 In	595 Cm	5024 11	5044 Du	5115 C	5526 u.	5588 E.	orestry.	Points		ico)e
Senator	Party	Districs	119	145	230 p	371 W.	45 1	462 4	547 1	595	5024	5044	5115	2526,	2588.	5608	Points	Motes	2004 Score
			S	3	3	•	•	*	S	J	*		*	3	3	3			
Aniskovich	R	12	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Cappiello	R	24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		0		1	1	1	11	12	92%
Ciotto	D	9	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Colapietro	D	31	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Coleman	D	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Cook	R	18	1	1	1	Α	Α	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	11	11	100%
Crisco	D	17	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Daily	D	33	1	A	1	1	1	Α	1	1				1	1	1	9	9	100%
DeFronzo	D	6	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	12	12	100%
DeLuca	R	32	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Fasano	R	34	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Finch	D	22	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Fonfara	D	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Freedman	R	26	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		Α		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Gaffey	D	13	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Genuario	R	25	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Guglielmo	R	35	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Gunther	R	21	1	1	A	Α	Α		Α	1				1	Α	1	5	5	100%
Handley	D	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Harp	D	10	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Hartley	D	15	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Herlihy	R	8	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Kissel	R	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1	11	11	100%
LeBeau	D	3	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Looney	D	11	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
McDonald	D	27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	1	11	11	100%
McKinney	R	28	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Murphy	D	16	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1		Α		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Newton	D	23	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Nickerson	R	36	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Peters	D	20	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	Α			1	1	1	10	10	100%
Prague	D	19	1	1	1	Α	Α		1	1		1		1	1	1	9	9	100%
Roraback	R	30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	12	12	100%
Smith	R	14	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Sullivan	D	5	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	10	10	100%
Williams	D	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%

## House of Representatives Votes

							T									,			
Representative	4	District	26	145 d	220 p.	371 W.	445 les	462 B.	547 L	S95 Cv.	5024	5044 F	5115 O	5526 u.	5588 F.	nwestry 18 w.	Points	8	2004 Score
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Party	ŝ	H H	H 14	/ ॐ ∗	H .‱	H   \$	* 46.	H 54	H Æ	*	.0 <sub>2</sub>	* 51	H H	/ <i>§</i> § H	H Sg.	Poj	Notes	/ %
Abrams	D	83	1	1	T	1	1	1	1	1	•	1		1	A	1	10	10	100%
Adinolfi	R	103	1	1		1	1		0	1	0	0		1	1	1	8	11	73%
Altobello	D	82	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Amann	D	118	1	Α		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	9	9	100%
Bacchiochi	R	52	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Backer	D	121	1	1		1	A		1	1		1		1	1	1	9	9	100%
Barry	D	12	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Beamon	D	72	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Belden	R	113	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Berger	D	73	1	A .	4	1	1	0	0	1		0	4	A	1	1	6	9	67%
Bielawa	R R	136	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1		0	1	1	1	1	11 9	13	85% 90%
Boucher	R	143	1	1	Α	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Boukus	D	22	1	1	^	1	1	0	1	1		0		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Cafero	R	142	1	1		1	1	0	1	0		0		1	1	1	8	11	73%
Candelaria	D	95	1	1		1	1	0	1	1		1		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Cardin	D	53	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Caron	R	44	0	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	7	10	70%
Carson	R	108	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	Α	1	7	9	78%
Carter	D	7	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Caruso	D	126	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Α	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Chapin	R	67	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		0	0	1	1	1	11	13	85%
Christ	D	11	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	Α	1	9	9	100%
Clemons	D	124	A	Α		Α	1		Α	1		0		Α	Α	A	2	3	67%
Cocco	D	127	1	1		1	1	0	0	1		1		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Collins	R	117	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1		0	0	1	1	1	10	13	77%
Congdon	R	42	1	1		Α	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	8	9	89%
Conway	D	75	A	A	_	1	1	0	1	A		1		A	A	1	5	6	83%
Currey	D	10	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	10 7	11	91%
D'Amelio Dargan	R D	71 115	1	1		1 A	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	9	10 9	70% 100%
Davis	D	50	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
DelGobbo	R	70	1	1	-	1	1	-	0	0		0	-	1	1	1	7	10	70%
Diamantis	D	79	1	1		1	1	0	1	1		1		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Dickman	R	132	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Dillon	D	92	1	1		1	1	0	1	1		1		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Donovan	D	84	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Doyle	D	28	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Duff	D	137	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Dyson	D	94	1	1	A	1	1		1	1		Α		1	1	1	9	9	100%
Esposito	D	116	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Fahrbach	R	61	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Farr	R	19	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Feltman	D	6	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		Α	Α	1	8	8	100%
Ferrari	R	62	1	1		1	1		0	0		0		1	1	1	7	10	70%
Fleischmann	D	18	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Floren	R	149	1	1		1	1		0	1	1	0		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Fontana	D	87	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Fox	D	144	1	1		1	1	0	A 1	1		0		1	A 1	1	7	9	78%
Frey	R	111	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%

## House of Representatives Votes (Continued)

First		100	_			1					<i>x</i> 0.						`			
Fritz   D   90   1   1   0   1   1   0   0   1   1	tative		/	//	n Cars	ance Standard.	lcides 23	' Company Land	d Wetlands	sction Act	sive Plants	Ne Change	h Pollution	ns of C& D	en Buildings	thors	estry/	er Diversion	//	Ne Ne
Fritz   D   90   1   1   0   1   1   0   0   1   1	/ Sen	/ .	ځ /	خ / ہ	8/	$\frac{3}{2}$	8 / 🔞	9/2		ğ / 4	ģ / ż	<b>€</b> / :	\$° / \$	E/ .	5/ 3	7 / 4	Ž / 3	<b>E</b> / s	/	\ \sigma_{\omega}^{\infty}
Fritz   D   90   1   1   0   1   1   0   0   1   1	/ Waga	/ a	Distri	119	145	02	371	\$	\ \&	247	595	205	20%	$\cdot/\iota_{\mathfrak{S}}$		258	`/ <i>\</i> 00	'/ <i>iji</i> i	Votes	/ <b>50</b> 0%
Caragosian				Н	Н	*	Н	Н	*	Н	Н	*	Н	*	Н	Н	Н			
Commares	Fritz	D	90	1	1	Α	1	1	0	0	1		1		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Cibons	Geragosian	D	25	1	1		1	1		1	Α		1		1	Α	1	8	8	100%
Gluelger  Residuance  Residuan	Giannaros	D	21	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Guliliano  R 23	Gibbons	R	150	1	1	1	1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Control   Cont	Giegler	R	138	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1		0		1	1	1	8	12	67%
Gonziace  Gonziace  Gonziace  Gonziace  R 31	Giuliano	R	23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		0	0	1	1	1	11	13	85%
Gorgins  R 33 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Godfrey	D	110	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Grzen  Gr	Gonzalez	D	3	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Grzen  Gr	Googins	R	31	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Greene R 105 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 70%  Guerrara D 2 28 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								1	0	1								9	11	82%
Greene  Re 105 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						0														
Guerrera																				
Hamm	_																			
Hamay									1				-							
Harkins			-																	
Heagney	•									-	-	1								
Hetherington   R   125						0					-									
Hovey R 112 1 A 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 A 1 1 1 5 10 50%  Hyslop D 39 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1									1	-			-	0						
Hyslop																				
Janowski	-					U				-										
Jarrinoc									U											
Johnston						1			1					Λ				-		
Ralinowski			1 1			1			1					А						
Keeley         D         125         1<						1			1				-	0						
Kernsky									1					U						
Kirkley-Bey         D         5         1 <t></t>	-																			
R   114	-																			
Labriola         R         131         1									0											
Lawlor         D         99         A         1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>U</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						U						0								
Leone         D         148         1 </td <td></td>																				
Lewis         D         8         1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>^</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									-			^								
Lyons						1			1					1						
Malone         D         47         1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						1			1					1						
Mann         D         140         1 <td>-</td> <td></td>	-																			
Mantilla         D         4         1<						1														
Martinez, L.         D         128         1						1						1								
Mazurek         D         80         1<						1						1								
McCluskey         D         20         1	•												-							
McMahon         D         15         1<												1								
Megna         D         97         1 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-					1			0			1								
Merrill         D         54         1<														1						
Metz         R         101         91%           Mikutel         D         45         1         1         A         1         0         1         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Miller         R         122         1         1         1         1         0         1         0         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Miner         R         66         1         1         1         1         1         1         0         1         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Moukawsher         D         40         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						1			A					1						
Michele         D         77         1         1         1         1         0         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         91%           Mikutel         D         45         1         1         A         1         0         1         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Miller         R         122         1         1         1         1         0         1         0         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Miner         R         66         1         1         1         1         1         1         0         1         1         1         1         8         10         80%           Moukawsher         D         40         1<												4								
Mikutel         D         45         1         1         A         1         1         A         1         0         1         1         1         8         9         89%           Miller         R         122         1         1         1         1         0         1         0         1         1         1         1         8         10         80%           Miner         R         66         1         1         1         1         1         1         0         1         1         1         9         10         90%           Moukawsher         D         40         1         1         1         1         A         1         1         0         0         1         1         1         10         12         83%           Mushinsky         D         85         1         <									0			1								
Miller         R         122         1         1         1         1         0         1         0         1         1         1         80%           Miner         R         66         1						Λ			J											
Miner         R         66         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         9         10         90%           Moukawsher         D         40         1         1         1         1         1         1         0         0         1         1         1         10         12         83%           Mushinsky         D         85         1         <						A													-	
Moukawsher         D         40         1         1         1         1         A         1         1         0         0         1         1         1         10         12         83%           Mushinsky         D         85         1 <td></td>																				
Mushinsky         D         85         1						4														
Nafis D 27 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 91%																				
									1					1						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						1														
	- iuruoilu		03	-	-		•				•				_	-		10	10	100/0

Representative		Districs	     	145 A	220 Possing	Sucides	445 hv.	462 p	547 L	595 Cu	5024 II.	5044 Pullution	5115 O	5526 u	5588 F.	rorestry 8 tu	Points	, s	2004 Score
Rep	Party	Dist	119	145	\ \x\{\gamma}	371	\$	\$	547	588	205	204	511	18	\\ \frac{\gamma_{\gamma}^{\gamma}}{4}	260	Points	Notes	200
			Н	Н	*	н	н	*	Н	н	*	н	*	н	н	н			
Noujaim	R	74	0	1	0	1	1		0	1		0		1	1	A	6	10	60%
O'Brien	D	24	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
O'Connor Olson	D D	35 46	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
O'Neill	R	69	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		0		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Orange	D	48	1	1		1	1	-	1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Orefice	D	37	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
O'Rourke	D	32	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Panaroni	D	102	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Pawelkiewicz	D	49	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Peters	R	30	1	1		1	1	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	1	7	12	58%
Piscopo	R	76	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	1	1	6	13	46%
Powers	R	151	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0		0		1	1	1	7	12	58%
Reinoso	D	130	1	Α	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		Α	1	1	10	10	100%
Rowe	R	123	Α	1		1	1	0	0	1		0		1	1	Α	6	9	67%
Roy	D	119	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Ruwet	R	65	1	1		1	1		1	0		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Ryan, J.	R	141	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Ryan,K.	D	139	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Sawyer	R	55	1	1	0	1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	11	73%
Sayers	D	60	Α	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	8	9	89%
Scribner	R	107	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Serra	D	33	1	1		1	1	0	1	1		0		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Sharkey	D	103	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Sherer	R	147	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		0		1	1	A	9	10	90%
Spallone	D	36	1	1	4	1	1	0	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	11	12	92%
Staples	D D	96 38	1	1	1	1	1	Δ	1	1		0	0	1	1	1	11	11	100% 83%
Stillman Stone C	D	9	1	1	1	1	1	A 1	1	1		0	U	1	1	1	10	11	91%
Stone, C. Stone, J.	R	134	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Stripp	R	135	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Tallarita	D	58	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Tercyak	D	26	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Thompson	D	13	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Tonucci	D	104	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Truglia	D	145	1	1	1	1	1		0	1		1		1	1	1	10	11	91%
Tymniak	R	133	1	1		1	1		1	1		0		1	1	1	9	10	90%
Urban	R	43	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Villano	D	91	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Walker	D	93	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	11	11	100%
Wallace	D	109	Α	1	1	1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%
Ward	R	86	1	1		1	Α		0	0		0		1	1	1	6	9	67%
Wasserman	R	106	1	1		1	1		0	1		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Widlitz	D	98	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		Α	1	1	1	1	12	12	100%
Wilber	D	63	1	1	1	1	1	Α	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	12	12	100%
Williams	R	68	1	1		1	1		1	0		0		1	1	1	8	10	80%
Willis	D	64	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	13	13	100%
Winkler	R	41	1	1		1	1	1	0	1		0		1	1	1	9	11	82%
Witkos	R	17	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	A	1	9	9	100%
Zalaski	D	81	1	1		1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	10	10	100%

## **Honor Roll**

The following legislators should be commended for their consistent support of the environment. This year the following legislators championed key issues, including:

#### **SEN. DON WILLIAMS**

Clean Cars and Global Warming

#### SEN. JOHN MCKINNEY

Clean Cars and Endangered Lands

#### SEN. ANDREW RORABACK

Banning Invasive plants and Environmental Protection Act

#### **REP. MOIRA LYONS**

As Speaker of the House, Rep. Lyons should be applauded for bringing forward so many bills.

#### **REP. PAT WIDLITZ**

Inland Wetlands and Water Diversion

#### Disappointments

These legislators actively opposed key environmental proposals. We look forward to working with them in 2005 for a more pro-environment standing.

**SEN. LEONARD FASANO** — Worked to weaken the Inland Wetlands legislation REP. JOHN PISCOPO — Worked against Global Warming and Clean Cars legislation **REP JOHN HARKINS** — Worked against the Clean Cars legislation **REP. CRAIG MINOR** — Worked against plans of conservation and development

#### **Kudos for Executive Branch Leaders!**

#### OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

Governor Rowland set the stage for passage of effective legislation on global warming, including the clean cars legislation. He also signed an Executive Order to require the state to purchase 20% of its electricity from clean, renewable sources by 2010, and 100% by 2020. As chair of the Bonding Commission, Governor Rowland also released \$2 million for farmland preservation.

#### OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Attorney General Dick Blumenthal spoke out strongly in support of the Global Warming initiative. He and Assistant Attorney General Janet Brooks and Special Counsel Rich Kehoe were essential to the negotiation and passage of the inland wetlands legislation

#### WATER PLANNING COUNCIL

Chairman Jack Betkowski and members Norma Gyle (DPH), Jane Stahl (DEP) and David LeVasseur (OPM) led the way, early in the year, to endorse a rate making change for water companies to offer incentives to sell their Class III land for land conservation purposes. The Council's insightful early endorsement opened the door for the proposal to move through the legislative process.

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Commissioner Art Rocque and his staff were steady in their support for the Clean Cars legislation. DEP Staff Attorney Robert LaFrance and Environmental Analyst Steven Tesitori were essential to the passage of Inland Wetlands legislation. The DEP worked in collaboration with the many interest groups and offered their expertise and unflagging support to these initiatives.

#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Deputy Commissioner Norma Gyle and Dr. Gerald Iwan very early acknowledged the importance of the Reservoir Abandonment legislation as a public health concern. DPH helped lead the way and stayed the course as SB 465 made its way through the process.

#### Dear Voter,

We hope that you will find this Environmental Scorecard useful in helping you assess how your senators and representatives acted on environmental issues in the 2004 session of the legislature. The successes that we happily report this year represent the hard work of many organizations and concerned citizens, and we are happy to have played our own role as an advocate and attentive watchdog.

To carry on our work of supporting responsible political candidates and assessing their performance we need your help. We hope you will renew your CTLCV membership or become a new member today. Please join us in the partnership for an even better Connecticut!

#### Julie Belaga and Russ Brenneman

 ${\it Co-Chairs, Connecticut League of Conservation \ Voters.}$ 

PLEASE HEI	P SUPPORT CTLCV's FUTURE EFFORTS
Yes! I'll suppo	rt CTLCV's education and political accountability work.
□ \$35 □ \$5	
My check pay	ble to CTLCV is enclosed
Please charge	myVISA MC
Card #	<b>Exp.</b> /
Y 6 1	
Name on Card	Signature
Name	
Address	
City	State Zip
Phone	email
Contributions to CTLO	V are not tax deductible
PLEASE RETURN TO:	CTLCV, 645 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, CT 06105
	Phone: 860.236.5442 Fax: 860.236.5448
	Email: ctlcv@mindspring.com Web: www.ctlcv.org
☐ I would like to make	e this donation in honor/memory of:
$\Box$ Please <b>notify</b> the fo	llowing person of this donation (name/address).
☐ Please <b>send me</b> inf	ormation about leaving CTLCV in my will.
☐ Please <b>send me</b> inf	ormation about the CTLCV Education Fund, and its work
	mmitment and ability of Connecticut citizens to protect
	nent through education. ne CTLCV Education Fund <u>are tax-deductible</u> .)
☐ I enclose a separa	e check for the CTLCV Political Action Committee
	election of pro-environment candidates.

(Contributions to the CTLCV PAC  $\underline{are\ not\ tax\text{-}deductible}$ .)

# CONNECTION LEAGUE OF

NONPROFIT ORG.

**US POSTAGE** 

PERMIT NO 3252 HARTFORD, CT

## CONSERVATION VOTERS

645 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105

Russell Brenneman, Co-Chair Domenic Forcella, Secretary David Behnke, *Treasurer* David Anderson Julie Belaga, Co-Chair William McKelvy David Bingham Woody Bliss Judy Harper Tom Harrison B. Holt Thrasher Tom Armstrong Margaret Miner Peter Moss John Millington Joseph McGee Donald Strait Jessie Stratton Lydia Stevens Sue Merrow ynn Werner John Atkin





