

### **CONNECTICUT LEAGUE OF**

### **CONSERVATION VOTERS**



2002

# Environmental Scorecard

Electing Pro-Environment Lawmakers
Holding Legislators Accountable
Engaging the Public in State Policy

## Session in Review



#### MORE GOOD NEWS FROM THE CAPITOL

Building on last year's victories for the environment, when 13 major environmental bills became law, this year the legislature voted in support of a significant number of new pro-environment bills. In addition to all the hard work of the environmental leaders and many pro-environment lawmakers, the new leadership in the House, the Senate and the Administration played a major role in achieving these successes.

### **Major Victories**

- SB 410 Farm Land Preservation, Land Protection, Affordable Housing and Historic Preservation
- SB 916 Pesticides at Schools and Daycare Facilities
- SB 920 Establish CT Clean Diesel Program
- HB 1294 Minimum Stream Flow Regulations
- HB 6570 Plans of Conservation and Development
- HB 6908 Clean Car Incentives
- HB 7501 Energy Independence

### **Unfinished Business**

- SB 590 Invasive Plant Species (to reduce the spread of non-native invasive plants)
- SB 785 Ban of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (toxic flame retardant chemicals)
- SB 871 Expanding the Bottle Bill (include water bottles)
- SB 876 Mercury Warnings (for fish consumption)
- SB 923 High Performance Energy Efficiency Green Building Standards (standards for state-funded projects)
- HB 5599 All-Terrain Vehicles (universal registration)\*
- HB 6703 Planning for Community Preservation (Geographic Information Systems)
- HB 6393 Community Preservation and Investment (To generate additional open space funds)
- HB 6942 Creating a Clean Water Investment Program (a fund for the acquisition of watershed lands)
- Light pollution Reduction\*
   (to reduce nighttime light glare and save energy)
- Bio-Diesel Promotion\*
   (for cleaner air and conservation of fossil fuel)
- Natural Gas Conservation Fund\*
   (to provide incentives for natural gas conservation)
- Sulfur Content of Home Heating Oil\* (for cleaner air and conservation of fossil fuel)
- \* Issues denoted with asterisk were not scored, and not all had individual bill numbers, but work continues for their passage.

#### HOW BILLS ARE SELECTED

Legislation that is included in this Scorecard represents a diverse set of environmental concerns. Environmental groups from around the state inform us about their priority bills and we consult with the advocates throughout the session to monitor votes and track the progress of each.

#### HOW BILLS ARE SCORED

This year, our scoring method has been refined. We have compiled votes (committee, House, and Senate) on thirteen key bills and have averaged those votes to assign a score to each legislator for each issue. For example, if a legislator had two chances to vote for a pro-environment bill (in a committee and on the Floor) but only voted yea once, the score for that bill would be 50%. The 2005 Final Score, in the last column, is the average of the legislator's scores on all of the bills. A zero means a lack of any pro-environment votes; a blank means the legislator did not cast any votes on that bill; a 100 means that they voted correctly at every opportunity. Only votes that impact the environment are included.

### Senate Votes

Senator	Party	District	470 Fam	90 Initial	871 Rossine Species	Joine Bill	916 Posts	20 C.L.	923 Gr	1294 ct	6393 C.	6570 pr. \$ Invest	6703 C	6879 AM	6906 Fr.	708 C.	2005 Final Score
Total # of Votes	40	ď	6	3	3	2	4	6	/ 6 <sup>V</sup>	8	2	6	2	1	8	5	<i> </i> ≈
Cappiello	R	24	100	50	0	0	100	100	100	100		50			50	100	68%
Ciotto	D	9	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
Colapietro	D	31	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
Coleman	D	2	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100	50	96%
Cook	R	18	33	100	67	50	100	100	100	100	0	100		0	100	100	73%
Crisco	D	17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			50	67	92%
Daily	D	33	100	100	100		100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
DeFronzo	D	6	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100		100	67	97%
DeLuca	R	32	100	100	0	0	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	79%
Duff	D	25	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	50	91%
Fasano	R	34	100	100	50	100	100	100	100	75	0	100	100		33	100	81%
Finch	D	22	100	100	100	100	100	100		100		100			100	67	97%
Fonfara	D	1	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
Freedman	R	26		100	50	100	100	100	100	100		100	0			100	84%
Gaffey	D	13	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
Guglielmo	R	35	100			100	100		100	50		100			50	100	88%
Gunther	R	21	100	100	50	50	100	100	100	100		100			100	100	91%
Handley	D	4	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	50	95%
Harp	D	10	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	50	95%
Harris	D	5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	67	91%
Hartley	D	15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			100				67	96%
Herlihy	R	8	100	100	0	0	0	67	100	100		100			100	100	70%
Kissel	R	7	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	100		100				100	90%
LeBeau	D	3	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
Looney	D	11	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
McDonald	D	27	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	67	97%
McKinney	R	28	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	100		0	100	100	88%
Meyer	D	12	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	100	100	0		67	86%
Murphy	D	16	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		0	100	67	90%
Newton	D	23	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100				75	98%
Nickerson	R	36	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	100		50			100	100	86%
Prague	D	19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			100	50	95%
Roraback	R	30	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			50	100	95%
Slossberg	D	14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100			50	50	91%
Stillman	D	20	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		0	50	67	86%
Williams	D	29	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100				67	97%

# House of Representatives Votes

		7				· 								/ .	- /		/ /
/		/									/ 5	S. S. Invect	<i>i</i>	6879 M	6906 E.	. /	
	/	·	/ /	/ ,	871 Bott.	/ ,	916 Possi	/ ,	/	Geen Buildings	6393 C 6393	5	6703 C	ning	gnct	/ ,	/ 💂 /
<u></u>	/	/	/	6	Spe	<u>#</u> /	Mari	ر چ	iese/	nila	Flow	Pres.	ر ر	Plan	y Re	/	ars
ntat,	/		/ ;	mlan'	3SiVe	,   e	;   c <u>ri</u>	ricial.	gu P	en B	ream'	<i>ww.</i>	gus	,    -	GLCM		ean (
\	/ 🏂	Į,	, / 4					§ / S	ج / ج	2 / 2	$\tilde{S}/\tilde{S}$	$\frac{3}{2}$		3/8	. / W		25 FI
Representative	Party	District	470 F3	5%	871 Bour	87,6	976 Possi	/%	/ %	72,5	639	6570 pu	<u>γ</u> 9	/ %	6906 F.	/ %	2005 Final Score
Total # of Votes			11	2	1	1	4	6	5	/	2	6	2	1	8	5	
Abercrombie	D	83	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Adinolfi	R	103	43				100	100	100	100		50			33	0	66%
Alberts	R	103	71	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	0	0	100	33	77%
Aldarondo	D	75	100			100	100	100		100		100			100	50	94%
Altobello	D	82	57				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	95%
Aman	R	14	38				100	100	100	67	0	67	100		75	50	70%
Amann	D	118	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Aresimowicz	D	30	86				0	100		100		100			100	100	84%
Bacchiochi	R	52	67				100	100		100		0			100	50	74%
Backer	D	121	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		0	100	100	92%
Barry	D	12	71	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	84%
Beamon	D	72	71				100	100		100		100			100	75	92%
Belden	R	113	71				0	100		100		100			100	25	71%
Berger	D	73	71					100		100		100			100	33	84%
Bielawa	R	2	33				100	100	100	100		100			100	50	85%
Boucher	R	143	29				0	100	100	100		0			100	50	60%
Boukus	D	22	67				100	100		100		100			100	100	95%
Cafero	R	142	0	100			100	100	0	100		100			100	0	67%
Candelaria	D	95	100	100			100		100						100	100	100%
Cardin	D	53	100				100	100	100	100		100			50	100	94%
Caron	R	44	14				100	100	100	100		100	0		100	67	76%
Carson	R	108	50			100	100	100		100		100			100	100	94%
Caruso	D	126	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
Chapin	R	67	50	100	0		100	100	100	100	0	100		0	50	33	61%
Christ	D	11	100			100	100	100		100	100	100	100		100	100	100%
Clemons	D	124	100				100		100	100					100	100	100%
Currey	D	10	100	100			0	100		100		100			100	0	75%
D'Amelio	R	71	14				100	100	100	100		100			100	0	77%
Dargan	D	115	83				100	100		100		100			100	100	98%
Davis	D	50	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		0	100	100	92%
DelGobbo	R	70	0				0	100	0	100		0			100	33	42%
Diamantis	D	79	20				100	100		100					100	50	78%
Dillon	D	92	100	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	88%
Donovan	D	84	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Doyle	D	28	67	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	83%
Drew	D	132	100	3			100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100%
Dyson	D	94	100	0			100	100	.00	50	100	.00	100		50	100	78%
Esposito	D	116	100				0	100		100	.00	100	.00		100	100	86%
Fahrbach	R	61	14			100	100	100	100	100		67			75	0	73%
Farr	R	19	0	100		100	100	100	100	100		100	0		100	50	75%
Feltman	D	6	71	100			100	100	100	100		100	U		100	100	96%
Ferrari	R	62	29				0	100	50	100		0	0		67	33	42%
	D D																
Fleischmann		18	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
Floren	R	149	14				100	100	100	100		100			100	50	71%
Fontana	D	87	83				100	100	100	100		100	40-		100	100	98%
Fox	D	144	86	0			100	100		100		100	100		100	100	87%
Frey	R	111	14				100	4-		4-					100	50	66%
Fritz	D	90	100	0			100	100	0	100	4-				0	100	63%
Gentile	D	104	100				100	100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100%
Geragosian	D	25	100	100			100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%

## House of Representatives Votes

Representative		District	410 Fam.	armland	871 Boxx	Source Bill	916 Possi	Clos	923 G	1294 S.	6393 C.	6570 pl. Shvest	6703 C	6879 As	6906 Fr.	ergy	2005 Final Score
Rep <sub>I</sub>	Party	Distr	470	590	871	876	976	950	/ %	729%	639	657	670	/89	/%	069	<sup>2</sup> 00,
Total # of Votes			11	2	1	1	4	6	5	7	2	6	2	1	8	5	
Giannaros	D	21	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
Gibbons	R	150	14				100	100		100		50			100	67	76%
Giegler	R	138	17	0		100	100	100		100					100	0	65%
Giuliano	R	23	29	100	0		100	75	100	100	100	100		0	100	67	73%
Godfrey	D	110	83	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	85%
Gonzalez	D	3	100	0			100	100	100	100		100			100	100	89%
Googins	R	31	43				100	100	100			100			100	50	85%
Graziani	D	57	100				100	100		100		100			100	50	93%
Green	D	1	75	100			100	100		100		100			100	100	97%
Greene	R	105	0	100	0		50	100	100	100	0	0		0	100	0	41%
Guerrera	D	29	83				100	100		100		100			100	100	98%
Hamm	D	34	100	100			100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Hamzy	R	78	0	0			100	100	100	100		0			100	0	50%
Harkins	R	120	0	U			100	100		100		50			100	0	64%
Heagney	R	16	0				50	67	0	100		100			100	U	60%
Heinrich	D	101	100			100	100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Hennessy	D	127	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	100	92%
	R	125	0	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	50	100	U	100	50	67%
Hetherington									100	100							
Hewett	D	39	100	_			100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Hovey	R	112	29	0			0	67		100		0			100	50	43%
Janowski	D	56	100		100		100	100	_	100	400	100	100		100	100	100%
Jarmoc	D	59	100		100		100	100		100	100	400	100	0	100	100	90%
Johnston	D	51	100				0	100		100		100			100	50	79%
Jutila	D	37	100	100	0		100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	92%
Kalinowski	R	100	29	100	100		100	100	100	100	50	50		0	100	67	75%
Keeley	D	125	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Kirkley-Bey	D	5	86				100	100		100		100			100	100	98%
Klarides	R	114	33	100		100	100	67	100	100		100			100	50	85%
Labriola	R	131	0	100			100	100	100	100					100	50	81%
Lawlor	D	99	100	100			100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
Leone	D	148	86				100	100		100		100			100	100	98%
Lewis	D	8	100				100	100	100	67	0	100	100		100	100	87%
Malone	D	47	100				100	100	100	100					100	100	100%
Mann	D	140	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Mantilla	D	4	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Martinez	D	128	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Mazurek	D	80	33				100	100	100	100		100			100	50	85%
McCluskey	D	20	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
McCrory	D	7	80				100	100		100		100			100	100	97%
McMahon	D	15	50	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	81%
Megna	D	97	100		100		100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	100%
Merrill	D	54	100					100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Michele	D	77	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Mikutel	D	45	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	50	94%
Miller	R	122	14				100	100	0	50	0	0	100		100	50	51%
Miner	R	66	0					100		33	0	50	100		50	33	46%
Mioli	D	136	100	100	0		100	100	100	100	0	100		0	100	100	75%
Moukawsher	D	40	86	100	0		100	100	100	100	50	100		0	100	100	78%
Mushinsky	D	85	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	100%
Nafis	D	27	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%

House of Representatives Votes

TIGUS					1				<b>/ L</b> J							$\overline{}$	CCD
, ive		/ /	//	/ /	871 Rome Species	/	976 Poss.	es	923 G	94 c.	6393 C.	6570 p.	6703 C B D	6879 M.	6906 Fr.	//	2005 Final Score
Representative			470 F3	'mlar	Wasive Varie	876 Moss	976 Posts	o   Sticio	] Lean C	een F	(reall)	WILL I	, ans		6906 Fm	,   d	inal s
Poress	Party	District	0 6	0,0	77	3 / W	76 Po	000	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	367	383	5/0/5	6/20	3/6/8			1500
Total # of Votes	۵,	ď	11	2	/ &\ 1	/ <del>&amp;</del>	4	6	/ 6 <sup>v</sup>	7	2	6	2	1	8	5	<i>/</i> ∼
Nardello	D	89	100		'	100	100		100	100					100	100	100%
Noujaim	R	74	33				100			100		100			100	0	72%
O'Brien	D	24	100				100	100	100	100		100	100		100	100	100%
O'Connor	D	35	100				0	100	100	100		100			100	100	88%
Olson	D	46	100	100		100	100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
O'Neill	R	69	57	100			0	100	100	100		50			100	50	73%
Orange	D	48	83			100	100	100	100	100		100			100	100	98%
O'Rourke	D	32	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100%
Panaroni	D	102	83				0	100		100		100			50	50	69%
Pawelkiewicz	D	49	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Perone	D	137	86	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		0	100	100	91%
Piscopo	R	76	25	100	0		50	0	100	100	0	0		0	100	25	42%
Powers	R	151	0	0			100	100		100		0			100	0	50%
Reinoso	D	130	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Reynolds	D	42	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Ritter	D	38	100			100	100	100	100	100		100			67	100	96%
Rowe	R	123	0					100		100					100	0	60%
Roy	D	119	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		0	100	100	92%
Ruwet	R	65	29				0	100		100		100			100	33	66%
Ryan, J.	R	141	0				100	100		67	100	0	100		100	50	69%
Ryan, K.	D	139	100			100	100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Sawyer	R	55	17				100	100		100		33			67	33	64%
Sayers	D	60	100			100	100	100	0	100		100			100	100	89%
Scribner	R	107	14				0	100		100		50			100	0	52%
Serra	D	33	100	0			100	100		100		100			100	100	88%
Shapiro	D	144	88				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	99%
Sharkey	D	88	100				100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Sherer	R	147	17				100	100		100					100	50	78%
Spallone	D	36	83	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0	100	100	91%
Staples	D	96	100	100			100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Stone, C.	D	9	83	0			100	100		100		100			100	0	73%
Stone, J.	R	134	14			100	100	100	100	100		0			100	50	74%
Stripp	R	135	29				100	100	100	100		0			100	50	72%
Tallarita	D	58	100			400	100	100	400	100		100			100	100	100%
Tercyak	D	26	100			100	100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Thompson	D D	13	100				100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Truglia		145 133	100				100	100	100	100		100	0		100	100	100%
Tymniak Urban	R R	43	43	100	100		100	100	100	75	100	100	100	100	100	50 100	94%
Villano	D	91	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100%
Walker	D	91	100	100			100	100	100	100		100			100	100	100%
Wallace	D	109	100	100			100	100	100	100	0	100	100		100	100	90%
Ward	R	86	0				0	100	100	100	U	50	100		67	0	45%
Wasserman	R	106	29			100	100	100		100		50			100	50	79%
Widlitz	D	98	100			100	100	100		100		100			100	100	100%
Wilber	D	63	100	100	100	.00	100	100	100	75	100	100	100	0	100	67	88%
Williams	R	68	0	.00	.00		100	67	50	100	.00	0	.00		100	0	52%
Willis	D	64	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	100%
Winkler	R	41	29	100		100	100	100		100		100			100	67	88%
Witkos	R	17	33				100	100		100		100			100	50	83%
Zalaski	D	81	86				100	100		100		100			100	100	98%

## **Bill Descriptions**

#### SB 410 Farm Land Preservation, Land **Protection, Affordable Housing and Historic Preservation**

SB 410 provides approximately \$27 million in new funding for open space, historic preservation, affordable housing and farmland preservation. Towns will collect a \$30 document fee for each new land record filed and keep \$3 of the fee to administer the program. Many lawmakers on both sides of the aisle fought for this legislation. Special recognition goes to Sen. Don Williams, who ensured this would be an environmental priority this session, and to Rep. Dick Beldon and Rep. Lew Wallace for leading this effort in the House.

Status: Passed, Public Act #05-228

### SB 590 Invasive **Plant Species**

Non-native invasive plant species threaten the biological diversity of Connecticut's natural landscape. SB 590, championed by Sen. Andrew Roraback, would have improved upon a law last vear that prohibits the sale and distribution of certain invasive plants. Banned species could only be moved for the purposes of eradication and the state would include invasive plants in their ongoing inspection programs (current law allows for fines up to \$100 per plant). The Senate amended the bill to allow municipalities to pass local bans on invasive plants after February 1, 2006.

Status: Passed Senate, Died in the House

### SB 871 Expanding the **Bottle Bill**

SB 871 would have updated the existing Bottle Bill by requiring a deposit on single-serve bottled water. Per capita consumption of bottled water has more than doubled over last decade and while 70% of deposit beverage containers are redeemed for recycling, only 12% of the water bottles are recovered through recycling programs. Sen. Don Williams carried the water on this bill. Status: Passed Senate.

Died in the House

SB 876 Mercury Warnings

If consumed, certain fish and shellfish contaminated with mercury can be harmful to children and to pregnant and nursing women. SB 876 would have required stores that sell fish to make pamphlets developed by DPH available to customers explaining these health risks. Despite support for this bill by Senate President Don Williams, it did not pass the Senate until the final days of the session. Opponents were able to stop this bill in the House by threatening to add controversial smoking-ban provisions.

Status: Passed Senate, Died in the House

#### SB 916 Pesticides at Schools and Daycares

SB 916 bans the use of lawn-care pesticides on the grounds of grammar schools and children's day-care centers as of January 2006, except to eliminate immediate threats to human health. Grammar school athletic fields will be exempt from the ban until July 2008, but must be maintained by using **Integrated Pest Management** (IPM) methods until that time. A similar bill, HB 6921, was championed by Rep. Andrew Fleischmann. The key provisions of his bill were eventually included in SB 916, which was passed in the Senate with the support of Senator Andrea Stillman. Rep. Roberta Willis also deserves credit for hard work on this issue.

Status: Passed, Public Act #05-252

#### SB 920 Establish CT Clean Diesel Program

In CT, Health risks from diesel are some of the highest in the nation. SB 920 calls for DEP to develop a plan to reduce diesel emissions 74% by the year 2015 and help the state meet federal air-quality standards. DEP must still develop measures to reduce the content of home heating oil and off road diesel.

Status: Passed, Special Act #05-7

#### SB 923 High Performance **Energy Efficiency Green Building Standards**

SB 923 would have required projects financed 25% or more with state funds to meet standards (LEEDS) adopted by the US Green Building Council. These apply to major issues, including energy use, water consumption, site impacts, construction materials, daylighting, ventilation and indoor air quality. The bill would primarily affect construction and renovation of public schools. We applaud Rep. Mary Mushinsky, Rep. Denise Merrill and Rep. Michael Caron for their leadership on this issue. Status: Passed Senate,

Died in the House

#### HB 1294 Minimum **Stream Flow Regulations**

HB 1294 requires the DEP to revise outdated stream flow regulations. Over 60 of the State's rivers have unnaturally low flow and some even run dry in the summer due to a lack of science-based standards for allowing water diversion. This adversely affects both people and wildlife. A lower quantity of water often means a higher concentration of wastes and pollutants. Passage of SB 1294 is a first step toward raising the minimum flow levels to acceptable standards for Connecticut rivers and streams. The original concept





was introduced by Sen. Andrew Roraback, and later championed by Sen. Andrea Stillman and Rep. Mary Mushinsky. Rep. Len Fasano attached a weakening amendment in the P&D Committee, which was successfully removed by Representative Lew Wallace in the House. Status: Passed, Public Act #05-142

#### **HB 6393 Community Preservation and** Investment

HB 6393 would have allowed municipalities—if they chose to do so-to raise funds for farmland, forest and water resource protection and recreation areas by applying a conveyance tax up to 1% on real estate transactions

over \$100,000. Rep. James Spallone deserves credit for championing this worthwhile legislation.

Status: Died in Finance Committee

#### HB 6570 Plans of Conservation and **Development**

HB 6570 requires the OPM to identify Priority Funding Areas, develop management plans for key corridors, and encourage consistency between local, regional and state plans of Conservation and Development. State funding would be focused on designated priority areas. Although the final version contained troubling exemptions for municipalities, the bill as a whole is a first step toward better land use planning in Connecticut. Rep. Lew Wallace did a tremendous job of shepherding this highly complex and controversial bill through the legislature. Rep. Craig Miner led the opposition on this bill.

Status:Passed, Public Act #05-205

#### HB 6703 Planning for **Community Preservation**

HB 6703 was a "smart growth" bill that contained a variety of assistance and incentives for better land use planning. It would have allowed the State's five largest cities to create a split-rate tax to encourage development of urban areas and discourage sprawl. The bill also called for the creation of a Statewide Geographic Information System (GIS)—information that is invaluable to land use planners, but often too costly for towns to obtain. A third item would have focused on improving land use education and training programs. Status: Died in Finance Committee

### HB 6879 Mercury Reduction

Environmental advocates opposed HB 6879 because it would have weakened landmark legislation, the Mercury Education and

Reduction Act of 2002, by exempting a number of products from existing law. These exemptions related to the sale, labeling, distribution, and collection of various mercury-containing items, such as button cell batteries, high intensity discharge lamps and the labeling of lighting fixtures. Representative Dick Roy ultimately responded to environmental concerns and ensured that no provisions of this bill came to a floor vote in the House.

Status: Died in the House

### HB 6906 Energy Independence (aka HB 7501)

HB 6906 died during the regular session because of utility opposition to the removal of a provision that would have allowed them to keep millions of ratepayer dollars. Nevertheless, the bill was passed in the special session under a new number, HB 7501. The bill contains a range of new incentives and programs that were developed in response to high energy prices and federally imposed congestion charges. Environmental provisions of the bill include incentives for conservation and energy efficiency, distributed generation, natural gas conservation, and development of clean energy sources to reduce air pollution. Senator John Fonfara championed this bill. Status: Passed in Special Session (HB 7501), Public Act #05-1

#### HB 6908 Clean Car **Incentives**

HB 6908 requires DEP to study and recommend incentives for Connecticut consumers to purchase vehicles with lower emissions. Areas to study include a ranking system for vehicles based on their greenhousegas emissions, rebates on the sales tax of low-emission vehicles, and higher taxes for higher polluting vehicles. Status: Passed, Special Act #05-6

## Funding for the Environment-**Mixed Results**

#### TRANSPORTATION: GOOD RESULT

The Legislature passed Governor Rell's proposal for purchase of new rail cars, buses and highway improvements. In addition to improvements to I-95, the state DOT will acquire 342 new rail cars for the New Haven Line (financed with \$1 per trip surcharge on tickets on that line), construct new rail maintenance facilities, and purchase 25 new transit buses.

#### **OPEN SPACE: MIXED RESULT**

During Special Session, a two-year bond package passed containing, in years one and two, \$6 million and \$5 million for the Recreation and Natural Heritage Trust; \$7.5 million and \$5 million for the Open Space Matching Grants programs; \$8 million and \$10 million for the Farmland Purchase of Development Rights (which is separate from funding generated under SB 410). Unfortunately, a bill which gave local communities the ability to independently raise funds for conservation did not make it.

#### **PARKS: BAD RESULT**

Park funding was slashed by \$1.7 million for each of the two years. This will have serious implications for parks maintenance. Language in the Special Session allows DEP to retain a higher portion of park fees that would otherwise go into the general fund. But this is no fix. It leaves the DEP dangerously dependent upon an uncertain stream of funding to support general operating costs of parks that ought to be coming out of the state's General Fund. This is a major setback for DEP.

#### **CLEAN WATER: BAD RESULT**

The state bond package contains \$20 million per year for Clean Water projects. This is well below the \$100 million per year deemed absolutely necessary for DEP to provide grants to towns that rely on help from the state to upgrade their local sewage treatment plants. As a result, many towns will not be able to perform vital clean water projects and our water will be less healthy. This will have serious implications for the clean up of Long Island Sound.

## Lobbyist Influence

This session, several high profile issues underscored how politics, lobbyists, and "deals" often win out over the environment's best interest. Every day there are scores of lobbyists at the Capitol, paid by special interests to work for or against legislation. When, early in the session, the Senate passed the Bottle Bill expanding current law to include water bottles, industry hired many of the top lobbying firms to work to defeat the bill in the House. According to state ethics filings, opponents of the Bottle Bill (primarily soda



manufacturers, distributors, and grocers) spent \$300,000 on lobbying and much of that effort was focused on assuring that the Bottle Bill did not pass, or even come to a vote. Environmental lobbyists and many volunteer advocates worked to support the bill, but they were overwhelmed by the opposition's lobbying expenditures. Post session, these same lobbyists are a major source of campaign contributions—a fact that is certainly not lost on legislators regardless of how they might otherwise feel about the legislation. The best, and perhaps only realistic counter is engaged and educated public involvement in the legislative process. Call CTLCV to find out how you can make a difference!



### **Honor Roll**

GOVERNOR JODI RELL has proven, in her first full year in office, that she is committed to protecting the environment as well as protecting the health and well being of our citizens. She enthusiastically endorsed and signed bills that were critical to both the environment and health of communities in the state, and took the leadership role in proposing and pursuing the \$1.3 billion transit and highway improvement package.

COMMISSIONER GINA MCCARTHY, appointed by Governor Rell in Dec. 2004, quickly mastered state issues and assumed a strong leadership role in implementing Connecticut's newly adopted Global Warming agenda. In her short time as Commissioner she has reached out to actively engage various constituencies in forging the state's environmental agenda. Her enthusiasm, competency and dedication to getting the job done right, despite the diminished funding available to her agency, has earned her high respect.

SENATOR DON WILLIAMS, the newly elected President of the Senate, was previously Co-Chair of the Environment Committee and has historically been a strong supporter of the League's issues. With his new responsibilities—and power—he has continued to be a leading advocate for, and an ally of, the environmental community in promoting sound policy.

**SPEAKER JIM AMMAN** is also a new leader in the legislature. As Speaker of the House, he was helpful in assuring that many environmental bills on the calendar were debated and voted upon, despite strong and time-consuming opposition that might otherwise have led to legislation being talked to death.

### **Another Rat**

Language that is sneaked into a bill outside the public process is known as a legislative "rat."

Vigilance is vital when the legislature is in session—a fact that was reaffirmed this past session when Senator Eileen Daily attempted to reverse a hard-won agreement that was the result of hundreds of hours of negotiations between environmentalists and the water companies. The agreement, which provides tax incentives and shareholder benefits for water companies if they sell or give land to entities that would permanently protect it as open space, was a major success of the 2004 legislative session.

During the 2005 session Senator Daily introduced legislation in conflict with the negotiated agreement that would have allowed the incentive to go for any purpose, not just for open space. Well after the bill was soundly defeated in the environment committee, she inserted this "rat" language into an omnibus bill at the end of the session that is necessary to implement the state budget. Fortunately leaders spotted it and the outcry that resulted enabled key legislators to delete the language.

### **MAKE ME A MEMBER!**

<b>\$40:</b>	Basic membership includes CTLCV's annual Environmental Scorecard and information on candidates running for state legislative seats
<b>\$60:</b>	Membership benefits plus periodic updates on legislative activity
<b>\$100:</b>	Membership benefits, updates, and invitations to CTLCV events
■ \$250 and up:	All of the above, plus the  Connecticut Environmental Briefing Book
☐ Other:	
My check payable	e to CTLCV is enclosed
Please charge my	VVISAMC
Card #	<b>Exp.</b> /
Name on Card	
G' acceptance	
Signature	
Name	
Name	
Name	State Zip
Name Address	State Zip Email
Name Address City	Email
Name Address City Phone	Email e not tax deductible.
Name  Address  City  Phone  Contributions to CTLCV are	Email
Name  Address  City  Phone  Contributions to CTLCV are	Email e not tax deductible. CTLCV
Name  Address  City  Phone  Contributions to CTLCV are	Email  e not tax deductible.  CTLCV 645 Farmington Avenue
Name  Address  City  Phone  Contributions to CTLCV are	Email  e not tax deductible.  CTLCV 645 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105 Phone: 860.236.5442 Fax: 860.236.5448
Name  Address  City  Phone  Contributions to CTLCV are	Email  e not tax deductible.  CTLCV 645 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105 Phone: 860.236.5442

NONPROFIT ORG.

**US POSTAGE** PAID

PERMIT NO 3252 HARTFORD, CT

645 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105

## Board

Julie Belaga, Co-Chair Russell Brenneman, Co-Chair Domenic Forcella, Secretary David Behnke, Treasurer William McKelvy David Bingham Woody Bliss David Anderson Tom Armstrong John Millington Margaret Miner Lydia Stevens Donal Strait Jessie Stratton Ken Bernhard Tom Harrison Holt Thrasher David Damer Lynn Werner Judy Harper Sue Merrow Joe McGee John Atkin Peter Moss



Lori Brown, Executive Director