# **CTLCV Candidate Survey**

Please complete this survey by July 30, 2016.



First Name: \*

Gregory

Last Name: \*

Cava

Running For: \*

House

Senate

District Number: *
32
Party: *
Democrat
Republican
Working Families
Other:
Campaign Mailing Address: *
POB 181, Roxbury CT 06783
Phone: *
860-248-5188
Website:
www.GregCava2016.com
Email: *
LITIOII.
gc@GregCava2016.com

Do you have a primary?
O Yes
No
The issues described below are ongoing and likely to be on the legislative agenda for consideration in 2017. If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues?
1. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT- To place a constitutional amendment measure on the ballot in 2018, the General Assembly must pass a joint resolution for a second time in the 2017-2018 legislative session. Senate Joint Resolution 36/Resolution Act 16-1 was passed by both chambers in 2016. Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being sold, swapped or given away without a public hearing and a 2/3rds vote by the General Assembly?
Yes
O No
O Uncertain
Question 1 Comments:

2. PROTECTION OF CLASS I AND II LANDS- Connecticut has set the highest standard for drinking-water quality in the nation. Maintaining our high water quality relies on the protection of the recharge lands for reservoirs and wellfields, known as Class I and II lands. With increasing frequency, projects and legislation are proposed that would compromise protections for Class I and II lands, such as the legislative effort this year to allow rock mining in 100 acres of Class I and II land in New Britain. Would you oppose legislation that undermines traditional drinking water protections?
Yes
○ No
Uncertain

**Question 2 Comments:** 

3. WATER DATA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION- In 2002-2003, water utilities pushed through three security laws that require officials to redact (black out or delete) large quantities of information in documents, such as water supply plans. Basic data needed for comprehensive, statewide water planning mandated in 2014 (PA 14-163) is now being redacted from water utility records. During the 2016 session, state agencies (DPH, DPUC, DAS, DEEP) and the Governor's office attempted (unsuccessfully) to persuade water companies to cooperate in state water planning by releasing more data. Would you support legislation to allow these state agencies to make water utility data publicly available for planning purposes?

	Yes
$\bigcirc$	No
$\bigcirc$	Uncertain

Question	3	Comm	ents:
----------	---	------	-------

4. WATER SUPPLY REGULATION- Privatization of public water for private bottling and sale is happening in communities across the country. In 2015-2016, citizens' groups protested the unilateral decision of a CT regional water utility to sell a huge volume of water to a single new customer (a water bottling company). The volume—1.8 million gallons per day—was approximately equal to total residential water use in the service area. The new customer was given a specially created discount to encourage large water purchases, while at the same time rates for households were increased, and no provision was made for prioritizing supply in droughts.

This question has two parts:
a. Would you support a permit requirement on new, supersized water diversions, for all new and existing customers asking for an additional 500,000 gallons per day above current use?
○ Yes
O No
Uncertain
b. Would you be in favor of regulating sales of our public drinking water supply to private for-profit water bottling companies?
Yes
O No
O Uncertain

#### **Question 4 Comments:**

My uncertainty results from insufccient information on the impact and why the line was drawn at 500,000 GPD and whether it is sufficient. I am strongly in favor of protecting public water supplies, however and not opposed to a permit process providing it has clear requirements, is immune from inconsistent and arbitrary decisions, and has a strong requirement limiting the time it takes to process applications.

5. REGIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INITIATIVE- Connecticut receives revenues from auctions for emissions credits conducted by the nine-state Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Under Connecticut statutes, these funds are dedicated to energy efficiency programs which help thousands of residents and businesses, and to the Green Bank, which leverages these funds to attract far more in private funding to finance renewable energy installations. Both programs create thousands of Connecticut jobs. Would you oppose any diversion of RGGI funds away from energy efficiency programs and the Green Bank?

programs and the Green Bank?	
Yes	
○ No	
Uncertain	
Question 5 Comments:	

mandates an 80% reduction in CT's greenhouse gas emissions below 2001 levels by the year 2050. The Governor's Council on Climate Change has been charged with developing strategies and interim targets to achieve that goal (Executive Order 46) and will issue a plan by the end of 2016. Would you support the adoption of new interim targets that will ensure CT is on a path to achieve the mandated 2050 emissions reduction goal?
○ Yes
○ No
Uncertain
Question 6 Comments:
I would need to see the existing targets and understand why these are not sufficient to meet the goal and why new targets are required.
7. PROJECT GREEN SPACE- Municipalities struggle to find adequate funding for open space acquisition and stewardship. Would you support enabling legislation that would allow municipalities to establish, if they choose to do so, a limited conveyance fee on transfers of real estate to provide dedicated local funding for land conservation, including farmland, forests and open space, and to fund land stewardship efforts, including in urban communities/public lands?
○ Yes
No
O Uncertain

6. CLIMATE CHANGE- The 2008 Global Warming Solutions Act (P.A. 08-98)

#### **Question 7 Comments:**

This will almost certainly not result in new open space acquisition as these funds are diverted to administrative priorities. There are better means for accomplishing this laudable goal. Also, the amount of open space in any given municipality needs to be calibrated with the need and extent of growth and the risk of preserving the good land only leaving only poorer land available for development and hence sacrificing public health and safety for conservation goals. A better solution looks to making better and more directive use of the decennial Plan of Conservation and Development (POCD), e.g., mandating a departure from it to require a super majority of the land use commission considering it.

8. PLASTIC BAG POLLUTION- Plastic pollution is a global environmental problem. Westport, CT and other communities across the country have begun to address this issue by implementing bans on single-use plastic bags, citing their contribution to clogged waterways, damage to marine life, and toxic pollution. Would you support a statewide ban on plastic bags similar to the successful ban in Westport?

on the consecution and the
Yes
○ No
Uncertain
Question 8 Comments:

9. TIRE RECYCLING- The value of scrap tire is declining and many post-consumer uses are going away. This is a recipe for illegal dumping which puts a financial burden on municipalities and can develop into a public health concern (tires are a breeding ground for mosquitos). Would you support full Expanded Producer Responsibility for tiresthat involves producers and advocatesin designing the take-back program?
O Yes
O No
Uncertain
Question 9 Comments:
I am not opposed to such a plan but I need to see the details and understand the extent to which it adds to the price of a new tire which could have the unintended consequence of creating public safety concerns as persons strapped for money resort to keeping tires with inadequate remaining tread on the road longer.
10. SOLAR ENERGY- Residential solar energy is rapidly expanding, and is an important source of clean energy and jobs. But CT's residential solar market is limited due to the high percentage (about 80%) of renters and homes shaded by trees. Other states have successful "shared solar" programs that enable people who cannot install rooftop solar panels to purchase a portion of electricity produced by a larger solar installation. Would you support legislation expanding CT's insufficient shared solar pilot to a full-scale, statewide program that allows all CT residents to access clean energy?
Yes
O No
O Uncertain

### **Question 10 Comments:**

## 11. What environmental concerns are you most passionate about?

Our land use regulations have proved an utter failure at protecting sensitive habitats. Wetlands regulation has helped a little, but land use commissioners frequently ask the wrong questions on their way to approving everything. CEPA has proven to be a disappointment. It has lofty purposes but provides no effective remedy in most cases and has been too narrowly construed. Few land use commissions know what to do with a verified petition and CEPA Intervenors lack standing to appeal their petition directly to court. We need to do a better job of translating the communities' aspirations in the POCD into the reality of acting on individual applications.

# 12. What are the environmental priorities in your district? Do any require a state legislative solution? If elected/re-elected, what will you do to address these issues in 2017?

See Answer to 11 above. We also need to consider some meaningful level of regional planning if we are to achieve badly-needed economic growth without ad hoc destruction of the natural environment which is one of our most critical assets. But rather than creating a new bureaucracy that no one wants and no practical person will admit has a chance of passage in our strong home rule structure, we could use incentives to allow regional planning to assist local commissions, and provide direct funding for surrendering certain initiatives to a regional solution. Think of it as a carrot and a carrot approach.

DO NOT LEAVE THIS FORM UNTIL YOU HAVE HIT THE "SUBMIT" BUTTON. If you have any questions or would like to submit additional information regarding your environmental record or positions, please email us at <a href="mailto:ctlcvquestionnaire@gmail.com">ctlcvquestionnaire@gmail.com</a> or call our office at 860-236-5442. Thank you!

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms