

# CTLCV Candidate Survey

Please complete this survey by July 30, 2016.



First Name: \*

Myrna

Last Name: \*

Watanabe

Running For: \*

House

Senate

District Number: \*

76

---

Party: \*

Democrat

Republican

Working Families

Other : .....

Campaign Mailing Address: \*

Myrna2016, c/o Robert Senk, Treasurer, 16 Highwood Crossing, Burlington, CT 06013

---

Phone: \*

860-675-1017

---

Website:

Myrna2016.com

---

Email: \*

myrna@myrna2016.com

---

Do you have a primary?

Yes

No

The issues described below are ongoing and likely to be on the legislative agenda for consideration in 2017. If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues?

1. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT- To place a constitutional amendment measure on the ballot in 2018, the General Assembly must pass a joint resolution for a second time in the 2017-2018 legislative session. Senate Joint Resolution 36/Resolution Act 16-1 was passed by both chambers in 2016. Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being sold, swapped or given away without a public hearing and a 2/3rds vote by the General Assembly?

Yes

No

Uncertain

Question 1 Comments:

I know there have been a number of swaps of conservation land in the state. I oppose that being done without due process. Conservation lands are precious and not to be removed from protection lightly.

2. PROTECTION OF CLASS I AND II LANDS- Connecticut has set the highest standard for drinking-water quality in the nation. Maintaining our high water quality relies on the protection of the recharge lands for reservoirs and wellfields, known as Class I and II lands. With increasing frequency, projects and legislation are proposed that would compromise protections for Class I and II lands, such as the legislative effort this year to allow rock mining in 100 acres of Class I and II land in New Britain. Would you oppose legislation that undermines traditional drinking water protections?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

#### Question 2 Comments:

The desire for rock mining--in an area that likely was recharge lands--came up in Harwinton two years ago. We defeated that--barely. I, as head of the Democratic Town Committee, alerted the public to the threat.

---

3. WATER DATA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION- In 2002-2003, water utilities pushed through three security laws that require officials to redact (black out or delete) large quantities of information in documents, such as water supply plans. Basic data needed for comprehensive, statewide water planning mandated in 2014 (PA 14-163) is now being redacted from water utility records. During the 2016 session, state agencies (DPH, DPUC, DAS, DEEP) and the Governor's office attempted (unsuccessfully) to persuade water companies to cooperate in state water planning by releasing more data. Would you support legislation to allow these state agencies to make water utility data publicly available for planning purposes?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

### Question 3 Comments:

---

4. WATER SUPPLY REGULATION- Privatization of public water for private bottling and sale is happening in communities across the country. In 2015-2016, citizens' groups protested the unilateral decision of a CT regional water utility to sell a huge volume of water to a single new customer (a water bottling company). The volume—1.8 million gallons per day—was approximately equal to total residential water use in the service area. The new customer was given a specially created discount to encourage large water purchases, while at the same time rates for households were increased, and no provision was made for prioritizing supply in droughts.

This question has two parts:

---

a. Would you support a permit requirement on new, supersized water diversions, for all new and existing customers asking for an additional 500,000 gallons per day above current use?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

b. Would you be in favor of regulating sales of our public drinking water supply to private for-profit water bottling companies?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

#### Question 4 Comments:

I think my quibble would just be on the amount. I'd need to study this to determine if even 500K gallons were too great. We don't know what climate change, including the possibility of drought, will do to this region of the country. I'd hate to allow water diversions that may eventually leave our population suffering from lack of water.

---

**5. REGIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INITIATIVE-** Connecticut receives revenues from auctions for emissions credits conducted by the nine-state Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Under Connecticut statutes, these funds are dedicated to energy efficiency programs which help thousands of residents and businesses, and to the Green Bank, which leverages these funds to attract far more in private funding to finance renewable energy installations. Both programs create thousands of Connecticut jobs. Would you oppose any diversion of RGGI funds away from energy efficiency programs and the Green Bank?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

#### Question 5 Comments:

6. CLIMATE CHANGE- The 2008 Global Warming Solutions Act (P.A. 08-98) mandates an 80% reduction in CT's greenhouse gas emissions below 2001 levels by the year 2050. The Governor's Council on Climate Change has been charged with developing strategies and interim targets to achieve that goal (Executive Order 46) and will issue a plan by the end of 2016. Would you support the adoption of new interim targets that will ensure CT is on a path to achieve the mandated 2050 emissions reduction goal?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 6 Comments:

---

7. PROJECT GREEN SPACE- Municipalities struggle to find adequate funding for open space acquisition and stewardship. Would you support enabling legislation that would allow municipalities to establish, if they choose to do so, a limited conveyance fee on transfers of real estate to provide dedicated local funding for land conservation, including farmland, forests and open space, and to fund land stewardship efforts, including in urban communities/public lands?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 7 Comments:

The real estate interests probably would oppose this, but I think this is a reasonable proposal.

8. PLASTIC BAG POLLUTION- Plastic pollution is a global environmental problem. Westport, CT and other communities across the country have begun to address this issue by implementing bans on single-use plastic bags, citing their contribution to clogged waterways, damage to marine life, and toxic pollution. Would you support a statewide ban on plastic bags similar to the successful ban in Westport?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

#### Question 8 Comments:

My only concern is that meat is likely to contaminate our reusable bags with pathogens. Perhaps adding an incentive for retailers to have limited use of paper bags for meat would be helpful.

---

9. TIRE RECYCLING- The value of scrap tire is declining and many post-consumer uses are going away. This is a recipe for illegal dumping which puts a financial burden on municipalities and can develop into a public health concern (tires are a breeding ground for mosquitos). Would you support full Expanded Producer Responsibility for tires--that involves producers and advocates--in designing the take-back program?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

#### Question 9 Comments:

We have had this problem in Harwinton, where tires were dumped on an undeveloped piece of property.

---



10. SOLAR ENERGY- Residential solar energy is rapidly expanding, and is an important source of clean energy and jobs. But CT's residential solar market is limited due to the high percentage (about 80%) of renters and homes shaded by trees. Other states have successful "shared solar" programs that enable people who cannot install rooftop solar panels to purchase a portion of electricity produced by a larger solar installation. Would you support legislation expanding CT's insufficient shared solar pilot to a full-scale, statewide program that allows all CT residents to access clean energy?

- Yes
- No
- Uncertain

Question 10 Comments:

---

11. What environmental concerns are you most passionate about?

Conservation of wildlife and maintenance of greenways and travel corridors so that animals do not end up in fragmented habitat. I don't think this is something that's always considered in the urge to develop towns. I also am concerned that Right to Farm Ordinances may allow residents who live near farms (and also our air and aquifer) to be exposed to toxic chemicals, such as glyphosate, with no recourse under the law. Maintenance of habitat for bees, both honey bees and native bees. A study in California showed that in areas in which there is much paved over land, bumblebee habitat became fragmented and species died out.

---

**12. What are the environmental priorities in your district? Do any require a state legislative solution? If elected/re-elected, what will you do to address these issues in 2017?**

There is always the potential for tank leaks at gas stations and pollution at former gas stations. Thomaston has a number of manufacturers that are listed as possible polluters, but I would have to learn more about it to be more specific. Thomaston also has a number of dams both in it and adjacent to it that are at significant risk. The state's requirement that dam owners have their dams inspected by a private engineering firm is fairly new. The suggested firms themselves have only been listed for the past two years. The success of this program and whether needed repairs are being made bear watching. Neither Burlington nor Harwinton has much commercial development, but Harwinton's Zoning Commission and its ZBA have been known to be more aggressive in wanting to allow and accept development and practices that are environmentally unsound (e.g., allowing a commercial logging operation to function as a "farm" [ZBA], advocating rock crushing on properties in residential areas [ZC], proposing that an unlimited number of animals [horses, cattle, pigs] could be maintained on property of any size [ZC], allowing building of as many structures as the property owner wants on a piece of property as long as the structures were for the property owner's recreation [ZC]). Although these and other noxious and environmentally unsound proposals were tabled, vigilance is required to make sure these boards do not overstep their responsibilities. Burlington has some abandoned buildings and empty lots in which people dump their trash. Part of the latter is a policing issue. Not sure at this point what legislative action would be appropriate. Perhaps the state statutes on Zoning Commissions and ZBAs should be studied to possibly put more environmental controls on what these boards can do.

---

**DO NOT LEAVE THIS FORM UNTIL YOU HAVE HIT THE "SUBMIT" BUTTON.** If you have any questions or would like to submit additional information regarding your environmental record or positions, please email us at [ctlcquestionnaire@gmail.com](mailto:ctlcquestionnaire@gmail.com) or call our office at 860-236-5442. Thank you!