

2014 Candidate Survey



CONNECTICUT LEAGUE OF
CONSERVATION VOTERS

- BEFORE YOU COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, PLEASE SAVE IT TO YOUR COMPUTER AND USE THAT FILE TO RETURN TO US.
- Please complete this survey by August 1, 2014.
- Email your completed survey to: ctlcvt@ctlcvt.org

Candidate Name: Rob Sampson

Running for: House Senate District No: 80 Party: REPUBLICAN

Candidate/Campaign Mailing Address: SAMPSON FOR CT 276 BOUND LINE RD. WOLCOTT, CT 06716

Phone: 203-879-3200 Website: WWW.SAMPSONFORCT.COM Email: INFO@SAMPSONFORCT.COM

Are you enrolled in the Citizen's Election Fund for public campaign financing? Yes No

Do you have a primary? Yes No

Are you an incumbent? Yes No

Part 1: If elected, what position do you expect to take on the following environmental issues?
 Please mark boxes named "Support," "Uncertain," and "Oppose" with an x.

Topic	Question	Support	Uncertain	Oppose
Parks	Would you support state park and forest revenues going to park and forest operations and maintenance rather than to the General Fund?	X		
Comments:				
Transportation	CT is one of the 10 states most dependent on federal funds as a percentage of their transportation expenditures. More than half of the states and localities have moved forward on increasing their funding share. Do you favor this and how might we do it in CT?	X		
Comments: LIKE MOST THINGS IN STATE GOVT, THIS IS A QUESTION OF PRIORITIES - I BELIEVE CT SHOULD MOVE TO MORE SELF-SUFFICIENCY AND LESS RELIANCE ON FEDERAL FUNDS AS A GENERAL RULE.				
Property Tax Reform	CT's property tax burdens small towns, strangles cities, undermines mass transit and drives sprawl. Would you be an advocate on behalf of the environment in the tax reform debate, especially with regards to property tax?	X		
Comments:				

Topic	Question	Support	Uncertain	Oppose
Pesticides	The American Academy of Pediatrics and many other science and medical institutions have warned that pesticides (including herbicides) are harmful to human and ecological health. CT has a ban on use of lawn care pesticides on grounds of elementary and middle schools. Would you support legislation to extend this ban to high schools and public parks where children play?		X	
Comments: THIS CERTAINLY DEPENDS ON THE DETAILS. I WOULD CERTAINLY VOTE TO PROTECT ALL CITIZENS FROM HARMFUL CHEMICALS. I ALSO AM A STRONG ADVOCATE OF LOCAL CONTROL SO I WOULD LIKE MUNICIPAL LEADERS TO HAVE THEIR SAY.				
Pesticides	Should towns have more authority to limit or guide pesticide applications within their borders, including roadside spraying and applications of pesticides in wetlands and surface water?	X		
Comments:				
Water Source Protection	CT normally uses decades old data for setting standards and guidance for stormwater management. Would you support requiring the state to upgrade its standards using scientifically validated, up-to-date statistics on volumes of water associated with extreme storms and annual precipitation?	X		
Comments:				

Microbeads	Many personal care products such as shampoos and toothpaste are being manufactured using tiny plastic microbeads. Once microbeads are washed down the drain, they can enter lakes, rivers, and eventually the ocean through sewage overflows or pass through sewage treatment plants. Microbeads, like other plastics, can absorb toxic chemicals. They can be mistaken for food by aquatic life and can be passed up the food chain to larger fish, wildlife, and humans. Would you support legislation to protect our waterways from this new environmental threat?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: <i>ASSUMING THERE IS CONCLUSIVE SCIENCE FROM RESPECTED AUTHORITIES.</i>				
Conservation Lands	Would you support passing a state Constitutional Amendment to better protect state conservation lands from being given away for non-conservation purposes?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: <i>I WOULD VOTE IN FAVOR OF THESE PROTECTIONS BUT AM HESITANT TO SUGGEST CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS.</i>				
Energy	Would you support policies or legislation to protect and expand the state's cost-effective energy efficiency programs opposing any attempts to raid the CT Energy Efficiency Fund for state budgetary needs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: <i>I BELIEVE STRONGLY THAT FUNDING DEDICATED TO A SPECIFIC PURPOSE BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE AND NOT REDIRECTED TO THE GENERAL FUND OR OTHER PURPOSES.</i>				
Energy	While other states promote shared net metering and sub metering for solar, fuel cell and other distributed renewable sources, CT imposes regulatory barriers that effectively prevent development of these projects in our state. Would you support legislation that removes these barriers and enable clean renewable distributed generation to move forward?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: <i>I BELIEVE NET METERING IS A KEY COMPONENT OF A PROSPEROUS ENERGY FUTURE.</i>				
Toxins	Would you support legislation that requires the Department of Public Health to identify chemicals of high concern to children, manufacturer disclosure of those chemicals and a process for recommendations to reduce exposure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: <i>I HAVE VOTED IN FAVOR OF THIS LEGISLATION PREVIOUSLY.</i>				



Part 2: What environmental issue has lacked the attention in Connecticut that it deserves? As a legislator, what will you do to change that?

*PROPER STAFFING AND FUNDING FOR CT'S STATE PARKS.
I WILL PROPOSE TO DO SO.*

Part 3: What are the environmental priorities in your district?

PHARMACEUTICAL DISPOSAL, WATER QUALITY, PROTECTION OF PARKS, PONDS, STREAMS

Part 4: If you are elected, what would be your top environmental priorities for the 2014 legislative session?
Please identify up to three and rank the three in order 1, 2, or 3:

- Parks
- Transportation
- Pesticides
- 3 Water Source Protection
- Microbeads
- Conservation Lands
- 2 Energy
- Toxins
- Property Tax Reform
- Other (please explain)

Comments: